


CSCI 5541: Natural Language Processing

Lecture 3: Text Classification

James Mooney



UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

Driven to Discover®

Announcements

- ❑ Homework 1 has been released (new due date: Feb 6)
- ❑ TA office hours have been posted on the [course site](#)
- ❑ Project formation is due Feb. 6
- ❑ [UNITE lectures](#) should be present immediately on canvas for non-UNITE students
- ❑ Recitation content + lecture PowerPoints will be uploaded after class today



Outline

- ❑ Tutorial on building text classifier using Scikit-Learn and PyTorch (Risako)
- ❑ Applications of text classification
- ❑ Why is sentiment analysis difficult?
- ❑ How can we build a sentiment classifier?



Movie review



Eternals is far from perfect, but it pushes the MCU into promising new territory....it feels like an amalgam of what Marvel does best - splendidly chaotic fight scenes, dazzling special effects, and stories that speak to who we are as human beings.

December 17, 2021 | [Full Review...](#)



Michael Blackmon
BuzzFeed News
★ TOP CRITIC





Erick V








Really bad story, uninteresting and boring.




Spam detection


Good day Spam x  

 **Mr. Tom Hook <tomhook230@outlook.com>** Jan 1   

to 

 **Be careful with this message.** It contains content that's typically used to steal personal information. [Learn more](#)
[Report this suspicious message](#) [Ignore, I trust this message](#)

Tom Hook Can we invest in your country. My name is Mr. Tom Hook a banker here; there is an unfinished business transaction in my branch. This is a business that will profit both of us, if you are interested get back to me for more details please because the money needs to invest outside my country. I wait for your quick response








Language Identification



Authorship Identification

Categories



Topic/Genre Assignment

Why is sentiment analysis
difficult?

Skip ▶



There was an earthquake in California

The team failed to complete physical challenge. (We win/lose!)

They said it would be great.

They said it would be great, and they were great.

They said it would be great, and they were wrong.

Oh, you're terrible!

Long-suffering fans, bittersweet memories, hilariously embarrassing moments



Scherer Typology of Affective States

- ❑ **Emotion:** brief organically synchronized ... evaluation of a major event
 - angry, sad, joyful, fearful, ashamed, proud, elated
- ❑ **Mood:** diffuse non-caused low-intensity long-duration change in subjective feeling
 - cheerful, gloomy, irritable, listless, depressed, buoyant
- ❑ **Attitudes:** enduring, affectively colored beliefs, dispositions towards objects or persons
 - liking, loving, hating, valuing, desiring
- ❑ **Interpersonal stances:** affective stance toward another person in a specific interaction
 - friendly, flirtatious, distant, cold, warm, supportive, contemptuous
- ❑ **Personality traits:** stable personality dispositions and typical behavior tendencies
 - nervous, anxious, reckless, morose, hostile, jealous



Difficulty of task

- ❑ Simplest task:
 - Is the attitude of this text positive or negative (or neutral)?
- ❑ More complex:
 - Rank the attitude of this text from 1 to 5
- ❑ Advanced:
 - Detect the target (stance detection)
 - Detect source
 - ..



What makes reviews hard to classify?

Subtlety

“If you are reading this because it is your darling fragrance, please wear it at home exclusively, and tape the windows shut.”

Perfume review in *Perfumes: the Guide*



What makes reviews hard to classify?

Thwarted expectations and ordering effects


*“This film should be **brilliant**. It sounds like a **great** plot, the actors are **first grade**, and the supporting cast is **good** as well, and Stallone is attempting to deliver a good performance. **However**, it **can’t hold up**..”*

*“Well as usual Keanu Reeves is nothing special, but surprisingly, the **very talented** Laurence Fishbourne is **not so good** either, I was surprised.”*



What makes reviews hard to classify?

Subjectivity and degree of sentiment



A: I got 3 veggies and a side of fries for over a 11 dollars if you like homecooked food



B: She listened to my ideas, asked questions to get a better idea about my style, and was excellent at offering advice as if I were a total pleb.



Annotation Records



Extractive

A is preferably more positive than B. ($A > B$)

Crowd Workers



Subjective

B is preferably more positive than A. ($A < B$)

"Prefer to Classify: Improving Text Classifiers via Auxiliary Preference Learning", ICML 2023



Why is sentiment analysis hard ?

- ❑ Sentiment is a measure of a **speaker's private state**, which is *unobservable*.
- ❑ Sentiment is **contextual**;
 - Words are a good indicator of sentiment (love, hate, terrible); but many times it requires deep world + contextual knowledge

"*Valentine's Day* is being marketed as a Date Movie. I think it's more of a First-Date Movie. If your date **likes** it, do not date that person again. And if you **like** it, there may not be a second date."

Roger Ebert, *Valentine's Day*

- ❑ Deep understanding of language behaviors (e.g., politeness)



Related Tasks

- ❑ Subjectivity (Pang & Lee 2008)
- ❑ Stance (Anand et al., 2011)
- ❑ Hate-speech (Nobata et al., 2016)
- ❑ Sarcasm (Khodak et al., 2017)
- ❑ Deception and betrayal (Niculae et al., 2015)
- ❑ Online trolls (Cheng et al., 2017)
- ❑ Politeness (Danescu-Niculescu-Mizil et al., 2013)
- ❑ ...



How can we build a
sentiment classifier?



Supervised Learning

- Given training data in the form of $\langle x, y \rangle$ pairs, learn $f(x)$

X	Y
<i>I loved it!</i>	Positive
<i>Terrible movie.</i>	Negative
<i>Not too shabby</i>	Positive
<i>Such a lovely movie!</i>	Positive



Learning $f(x)$

Two components:

- The formal structure of the learning method:
 - f : how x and y are mapped
 - Logistic regression, Naïve Bayes, RNN, CNN, etc
- The **representation** of the data (x)



Representation of data (x)

- ❑ Only positive/negative words in sentiment dictionaries
- ❑ Only words in isolation
- ❑ Conjunctions of words
- ❑ Linguistic structures
- ❑ ..



Sentiment Dictionaries

- ❑ General Inquirer (1996)
- ❑ MPQA subjectivity lexicon (Wilson et al., 2005)
 - http://mpqa.cs.pitt.edu/lexicons/subj_lexicon/
- ❑ LIWC (Pennebaker 2015)
- ❑ AFINN (Nielsen 2011)
- ❑ NRC Word-Emotion Association Lexicon (EmoLex) (Mohammad and Turney, 2013)

Positive	Negative
unlimited	lag
prudent	contortions
superb	fright
closeness	lonely
impeccably	tenuously
fast-paced	plebeian
treat	mortification
destined	outrage
blessing	allegations



Dictionary Counting

I love this movie! It's sweet, but with satirical humor. The dialogue is great and the adventure scenes are fun... It manages to be whimsical and romantic while laughing at the conventions of the fairy tale genre. I would recommend it to just about anyone. I've seen it several times, and I'm always happy to see it again whenever I have a friend who hasn't seen it yet!



Positive	Negative
unlimited	lag
prudent	contortions
superb	fright
closeness	lonely
impeccably	tenuously
fast-paced	plebeian
treat	mortification
destined	outrage
blessing	allegations





happy	1
love	2
recommend	2
lonely	0
outrage	0
not	2



Limitation?

$$f \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \text{happy} & 1 \\ \hline \text{love} & 2 \\ \hline \text{recommend} & 2 \\ \hline \text{lonely} & 0 \\ \hline \text{outrage} & 0 \\ \hline \text{not} & 2 \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = y$$



Representation of data (x)

- ❑ Only positive/negative words in sentiment dictionaries
- ❑ Only words in isolation (bag-of-words)
 - E.g., good, bad
- ❑ Conjunctions of words (sequential, high-order n-grams, skip n-grams, etc)
 - E.g., "not good", "not bad"
- ❑ Linguistic structures (Part-of-speech, etc)
- ❑ ..



Bag of words

Representation of text only as the counts of words that it contains

I love this movie! It's sweet, but with satirical humor. The dialogue is great and the adventure scenes are fun... It manages to be whimsical and romantic while laughing at the conventions of the fairy tale genre. I would recommend it to just about anyone. I've seen it several times, and I'm always happy to see it again whenever I have a friend who hasn't seen it yet!



it	6
I	5
the	4
to	3
and	3
seen	2
yet	1
would	1
whimsical	1
times	1
sweet	1
satirical	1
adventure	1
genre	1
fairy	1
humor	1
have	1
great	1
...	...



$$f \left(\begin{array}{ll} \text{it} & 6 \\ \text{I} & 5 \\ \text{the} & 4 \\ \text{to} & 3 \\ \text{and} & 3 \\ \text{seen} & 2 \\ \text{yet} & 1 \\ \text{would} & 1 \\ \text{whimsical} & 1 \\ \text{times} & 1 \\ \text{sweet} & 1 \\ \text{satirical} & 1 \\ \text{adventure} & 1 \\ \text{genre} & 1 \\ \text{fairy} & 1 \\ \text{humor} & 1 \\ \text{have} & 1 \\ \text{great} & 1 \\ \dots & \dots \end{array} \right) = y$$



Representation of data (x)


- ❑ Only positive/negative words in sentiment dictionaries
- ❑ Only words in isolation (bag-of-words)
- ❑ Conjunctions of words (sequential, high-order n-grams, skip n-grams, etc)
- ❑ Linguistic structures (Part-of-speech, etc)
- ❑ ..



Linguistic Structures

Count the number of part-of-Speech, depth of constituency parses, etc

I love this movie! It's sweet, but with satirical humor. The dialogue is great and the adventure scenes are fun... It manages to be whimsical and romantic while laughing at the conventions of the fairy tale genre. I would recommend it to just about anyone. I've seen it several times, and I'm always happy to see it again whenever I have a friend who hasn't seen it yet!



Parse depth	5
-------------	---

NP	5
VP	2
..	..



$$f \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline \text{NP} & 5 \\ \hline \text{VP} & 2 \\ \hline \text{Parse depth} & 5 \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = y$$





How to implement $f(x)=y$ using Python?



Two components:





- ❑ **The formal structure of the learning method:**
 - f : how x and y are mapped
 - Logistic regression, Naïve Bayes, RNN, CNN, etc
- ~~❑ The **representation** of the data (x)~~





Classification vs Regression









Probability 101: Logit(P) and Logistic Regression




Logistic regression

$$p = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(b_0 + b_1 x)}}$$



Binary logistic regression

$$p = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(b_0 + b_1 x)}}$$



Model parameters to learn


$$P(y = 1 \mid x, \beta) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^F x_i \beta_i\right)}$$

output space

$$\mathcal{Y} = \{0, 1\}$$



Binary logistic regression




$$f(x) = y$$

it	6
I	5
the	4
to	3
and	3
seen	2
yet	1
would	1
whimsical	1
times	1
sweet	1
satirical	1
adventure	1
genre	1
fairy	1



Importance of your features: β



	x	β
<i>not</i>	1	-0.5
<i>bad</i>	1	-1.7
<i>movie</i>	0	0.3



Logistic regression

$$P(y = 1 | x, \beta) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^F x_i \beta_i\right)}$$

- We want to find the value of β that leads to the **highest** value of the conditional log likelihood:

$$\ell(\beta) = -\sum_{i=1}^N \log P(y_i | x_i, \beta)$$



Logistic regression

- We want to find the value of β that leads to the **highest** value of the conditional log likelihood:

$$\ell(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^N \log P(y_i | x_i, \beta)$$

- Train it with stochastic gradient descent


Algorithm 2 Logistic regression stochastic gradient descent

```
1: Data: training data  $x \in \mathbb{R}^F, y \in \{0, 1\}$ 
2:  $\beta = 0^F$ 
3: while not converged do
4:   for  $i = 1$  to  $N$  do
5:      $\beta_{t+1} = \beta_t + \alpha (y_i - \hat{p}(x_i)) x_i$ 
6:   end for
7: end while
```



$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\beta} \ell(\beta; y, X) &= \nabla_{\beta} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N [-\ln(1 + \exp(x_i \beta)) + y_i x_i \beta] \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N (\nabla_{\beta} [-\ln(1 + \exp(x_i \beta)) + y_i x_i \beta]) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left(-\frac{\exp(x_i \beta)}{1 + \exp(x_i \beta)} x_i + y_i x_i \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left(y_i - \frac{\exp(x_i \beta)}{1 + \exp(x_i \beta)} \right) x_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left(y_i - \frac{\exp(x_i \beta)}{1 + \exp(x_i \beta)} \frac{\exp(-x_i \beta)}{\exp(-x_i \beta)} \right) x_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left(y_i - \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-x_i \beta)} \right) x_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N [y_i - S(x_i \beta)] x_i \end{aligned}$$



Gradient Descent (why does it work)







$$p = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(b_0 + b_1 x)}}$$



Algorithm 2 Logistic regression stochastic gradient descent


- 1: Data: training data $x \in \mathbb{R}^F, y \in \{0, 1\}$
 - 2: $\beta = 0^F$
 - 3: **while** not converged **do**
 - 4: **for** $i = 1$ to N **do**
 - 5: $\beta_{t+1} = \beta_t + \alpha (y_i - \hat{p}(x_i)) x_i$
 - 6: **end for**
 - 7: **end while**
-





The Final Logistic Classifier

- Linear
- Parameters define a separating hyperplane in the space of x
- Bias defines offset from origin



How to implement $f(x)=y$ using Python?

Two components:

- ❑ The formal structure of the learning method:
 - f : how x and y are mapped
 - *Logistic regression*, Naïve Bayes, RNN, CNN, etc
- ❑ **The representation of the data (x)**




Representation of data (x)

- ❑ As a discriminative classifier, logistic regression doesn't assume features are independent like Naive Bayes does.
- ❑ Its power partly comes in the ability to create **richly expressive features** without the burden of independence.
- ❑ We can represent text through features that are not just the identities of individual words, but any feature that is scoped over the **entirety of the input**.

Features
Unigrams ("like")
Bigrams ("not like"), trigrams, etc
Prefixes (word that start with "un-")
Words that appear in the positive/negative dictionary
Reviews begin with "I love"
At least 3 mentions of positive verbs (like, love, etc)




Representation of data (x)



Features
Unigrams ("like")
Bigrams ("not like"), trigrams, etc
Prefixes (word that start with "un-")
Words that appear in the positive/negative dictionary
Reviews begin with "I love"
At least 3 mentions of positive verbs (like, love, etc)







What if your input representation is *complex* and cannot be modeled by simple *linear projection*?

Neural Networks

- ❑ Discrete, high-dimensional representation of inputs (one-hot vectors) => low-dimensional “distributed” representations.
 - Distributional semantics and word vectors (To be covered)
- ❑ Static representations -> contextual representations, where representations of words are sensitive to local context.
 - Contextualized Word Embeddings (To be covered)
- ❑ Multiple layers to capture hierarchical structure




Recap: Logistic regression

$$P(\hat{y} = 1) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^F x_i \beta_i\right)}$$


“It’s not a bad movie”

	x	β
<i>not</i>	1	-0.5
<i>bad</i>	1	-1.7
<i>movie</i>	0	0.3
<i>not a bad</i>	1	-1.7




Feedforward neural network


- Input and output are mediated by at least one hidden layer.





*For simplicity, we're leaving out the bias term, but assume most layers have them as well.



MLP: Relations with logistic regression






x	W		V	y
1	-0.5	1.3	4.1	1
1	0.4	0.08	-0.9	
0	1.7	3.1		

Activation functions

Squeezing outputs between 0 and 1


$$\sigma(z) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-z)}$$



Activation functions

Squeezing outputs between 0 and 1

$$\sigma(z) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-z)} \quad P(\hat{y} = 1) = \sigma\left(\sum_{i=1}^F x_i \beta_i\right)$$



$$P(\hat{y} = 1) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^F x_i \beta_i\right)}$$


We can think about logistic regression as a neural network with no hidden layers



Activation functions



Squeezing outputs between 0 and 1


- ❑ Sigmoid is useful for final layer to scale output between 0 and 1, but is not often used in intermediate layers.
- ❑ ReLU and tanh are both used extensively in modern systems.
 - Check out the derivative



Non-linearities (i.e., f): why they're needed?



- Neural nets do function approximation
 - E.g., regression or classification
 - Without non-linearities, deep neural nets can't do anything more than a linear transform.
 - Extra layers could just be compiled down into a single linear transform: $W_1 W_2 x = Wx$
 - But, with more layers that include non-linearities, they can approximate more complex functions





Linear models include translation, rigid (translation + rotation), similarity (translation + rotation + scale), affine and projective transformations. Nonlinear models, which consider non-linear transformations allow for more complex deformations.

W V




$$h_1 = \sigma \left(\sum_{i=1}^F x_i W_{i,1} \right)$$

$$h_2 = \sigma \left(\sum_{i=1}^F x_i W_{i,2} \right)$$

$$\hat{y} = \sigma [V_1 h_1 + V_2 h_2]$$





$$\hat{y} = \sigma \left[V_1 \left(\sigma \left(\sum_i^F x_i W_{i,1} \right) \right) + V_2 \left(\sigma \left(\sum_i^F x_i W_{i,2} \right) \right) \right]$$



$$\hat{y} = \sigma \left[V_1 \underbrace{\left(\sigma \left(\sum_i^F x_i W_{i,1} \right) \right)}_{h_1} + V_2 \underbrace{\left(\sigma \left(\sum_i^F x_i W_{i,2} \right) \right)}_{h_2} \right]$$


This is differentiable via **backpropagation**

Backpropagation: Given training samples of $\langle x, y \rangle$ pairs, we can use stochastic gradient descent to find the values of W and V that minimize the loss.




Recap


$$p = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(b_0 + b_1 x)}}$$



Algorithm 2 Logistic regression stochastic gradient descent

- 1: Data: training data $x \in \mathbb{R}^F, y \in \{0, 1\}$
- 2: $\beta = 0^F$
- 3: **while** not converged **do**
- 4: **for** $i = 1$ to N **do**
- 5: $\beta_{t+1} = \beta_t + \alpha (y_i - \hat{p}(x_i)) x_i$
- 6: **end for**
- 7: **end while**





Neural networks are a series of functions **chained** together

$$xW \rightarrow \sigma(xW) \rightarrow \sigma(xW)V \rightarrow \sigma(\sigma(xW)V)$$

The loss is another function **chained** on top

$$\log(\sigma(\sigma(xW)V))$$



Chain rule

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial V} \log(\sigma(\sigma(xW)V))$$

$$= \frac{\partial \log(\sigma(\sigma(xW)V))}{\partial \sigma(\sigma(xW)V)} \frac{\partial \sigma(\sigma(xW)V)}{\partial \sigma(xW)V} \frac{\partial \sigma(xW)V}{\partial V}$$

$$= \overbrace{\frac{\partial \log(\sigma(hV))}{\partial \sigma(hV)}}^A \overbrace{\frac{\partial \sigma(hV)}{\partial hV}}^B \overbrace{\frac{\partial hV}{\partial V}}^C$$


$$= \overbrace{\frac{1}{\sigma(hV)}}^A \times \overbrace{\sigma(hV) \times (1 - \sigma(hV))}^B \times \overbrace{h}^C$$

$$= (1 - \sigma(hV))h$$

$$= (1 - \hat{y})h$$



Forward and Backward Propagation



<https://medium.com/deeper-deep-learning-tr/ad%C4%B1m-ad%C4%B1m-forward-and-back-propagation-cf4cd18276ee>



Backpropagation



- ❑ Forward and backward propagation
 - Compute value/gradient of each node with respect to previous nodes
- ❑ Good news is that modern automatic differentiation tools do this all for you!
- ❑ Deep learning nowadays is like modular programming




```

class Feedforward(torch.nn.Module):
    def __init__(self, input_size, hidden_size):
        super(Feedforward, self).__init__()
        self.input_size = input_size
        self.hidden_size = hidden_size
        self.fc1 = torch.nn.Linear(self.input_size,
self.hidden_size)
        self.relu = torch.nn.ReLU()
        self.fc2 = torch.nn.Linear(self.hidden_size, 1)
        self.sigmoid = torch.nn.Sigmoid()

    def forward(self, x):
        hidden = self.fc1(x)
        relu = self.relu(hidden)
        output = self.fc2(relu)
        output = self.sigmoid(output)
        return output

```



```

class Feedforward(torch.nn.Module):
    def __init__(self, input_size, hidden_size):
        super(Feedforward, self).__init__()
        self.input_size = input_size
        self.hidden_size = hidden_size
        self.fc1 = torch.nn.Linear(self.input_size,
self.hidden_size)
        self.relu = torch.nn.ReLU()
        self.fc2 = torch.nn.Linear(self.hidden_size, 1)
        self.sigmoid = torch.nn.Sigmoid()

    def forward(self, x):
        hidden = self.fc1(x)
        relu = self.relu(hidden)
        output = self.fc2(relu)
        output = self.sigmoid(output)
        return output

```

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial V} \log(\sigma(\sigma(xW)V))$$

```

model = Feedforward(2, 10)
criterion = torch.nn.BCELoss()
optimizer = torch.optim.SGD(model.parameters(), lr = 0.01)

```



Algorithm 2 Logistic regression stochastic gradient descent

- 1: Data: training data $x \in \mathbb{R}^F, y \in \{0, 1\}$
 - 2: $\beta = 0^F$
 - 3: **while** not converged **do**
 - 4: **for** $i = 1$ to N **do**
 - 5: $\beta_{t+1} = \beta_t + \alpha (y_i - \hat{p}(x_i)) x_i$
 - 6: **end for**
 - 7: **end while**
-

```
model.eval()
y_pred = model(x_test)
before_train = criterion(y_pred.squeeze(), y_test)
print('Test loss before training' , before_train.item())
```

```
model.train()
epoch = 20

for epoch in range(epoch):
```

```
    optimizer.zero_grad()
```

```
    # Forward pass
    y_pred = model(x_train)
```

```
    # Compute Loss
    loss = criterion(y_pred.squeeze(), y_train)

    print('Epoch {}: train loss: {}'.format(epoch, loss.item()))
```

```
    # Backward pass
    loss.backward()
    optimizer.step()
```

```
model.eval()
y_pred = model(x_test)
after_train = criterion(y_pred.squeeze(), y_test)
print('Test loss after Training' , after_train.item())
```




Other tricks in neural network training

- ❑ Avoid overfitting with dropout
- ❑ Average/max/min pooling
- ❑ Smart initialization
- ❑ Adaptive learning rate control than SGD
- ❑ Gradient clipping
- ❑ Early stopping with validation set
- ❑ Hyper-parameter tuning
- ❑ ...




Feedforward Neural Network (i.e., Single-layer Perceptron)




Feedforward Neural Network (i.e., Two-layer Perceptron)

HW1




Finetuning from pretrained weights


HW2




Other neural network models




Convolution NN




Recurrent-
NN/LSTM/GRU



Recursive NN



Self-attention / Transformers






Graph Convolutional /
Neural Network




Overfitting

- ❑ A model that perfectly match the training data that has a problem
- ❑ It will also **overfit** to the data, modeling noise
 - A random word that perfectly predicts y (it happens to only occur in one class) will get a very high weight.
 - Failing to generalize to a test set without this word.
- ❑ A good model should be able to generalize



Cross validation

- ❑ Break up “training” data into 5 folds
- ❑ For each fold
 - Choose the fold as a temporary test set
 - Train on 5 folds, compute performance on test fold
- ❑ Report average performance of the 5 runs
- ❑ Find the best parameters



https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/cross_validation.html



State of the Art




Sentiment Analysis on SST-2 Binary classification

Leaderboard

Dataset

View Accuracy by Date



Rank	Model	Accuracy↑	Paper	Code	Result	Year	Tags
1	SMART-RoBERTa Large	97.5	SMART: Robust and Efficient Fine-Tuning for Pre-trained Natural Language Models through Principled Regularized Optimization			2019	Transformer
2	T5-3B	97.4	Exploring the Limits of Transfer Learning with a Unified Text-to-Text Transformer			2019	Transformer
3	MUPPET Roberta Large	97.4	Muppet: Massive Multi-task Representations with Pre-Fine-tuning			2021	
4	ALBERT	97.1	ALBERT: A Lite BERT for Self-supervised Learning of Language Representations			2019	Transformer
5	T5-11B	97.1	Exploring the Limits of Transfer Learning with a Unified Text-to-Text Transformer			2019	Transformer
6	StructBERTRoBERTa ensemble	97.1	StructBERT: Incorporating Language Structures into Pre-training for Deep Language Understanding			2019	Transformer
7	XLNet (single model)	97	XLNet: Generalized Autoregressive Pretraining for Language Understanding			2019	Transformer
8	ELECTRA	96.9	ELECTRA: Pre-training Text Encoders as Discriminators Rather Than Generators			2020	
9	EFL	96.9	Entailment as Few-Shot Learner			2021	Transformer
10	XLNet-Large (ensemble)	96.8	XLNet: Generalized Autoregressive Pretraining for Language Understanding			2019	Transformer
11	RoBERTa	96.7	RoBERTa: A Robustly Optimized BERT Pretraining Approach			2019	Transformer



Robustness of Neural Classifiers

Test case	Expected	Predicted	Pass?
A Testing Negation with <i>MFT</i>	Labels: negative, positive, neutral		
Template: I {NEGATION} {POS_VERB} the {THING}.			
I can't say I recommend the food.	neg	pos	X
I didn't love the flight.	neg	neutral	X
...			
			Failure rate = 76.4%



Robustness of Neural Classifiers

Test case	Expected	Predicted	Pass?
B Testing NER with <i>INV</i> Same pred. (<i>inv</i>) after removals / additions			
@AmericanAir thank you we got on a different flight to [Chicago → Dallas].	<i>inv</i>		X
@VirginAmerica I can't lose my luggage, moving to [Brazil → Turkey] soon, ugh.	<i>inv</i>		X
...			
		Failure rate = 20.8%	



Robustness of Neural Classifiers

Test case	Expected	Predicted	Pass?
 Testing Vocabulary with <i>DIR</i> Sentiment monotonic decreasing (↓)			
@AmericanAir service wasn't great. You are lame.	↓		X
@JetBlue why won't YOU help them?! Ugh. I dread you.	↓		X
...			
Failure rate =			34.6%



Rank	Model	Accuracy↑	Paper	Code	Result	Year	Tags
1	SMART-RoBERTa Large	97.5	SMART: Robust and Efficient Fine-Tuning for Pre-trained Natural Language Models through Principled Regularized Optimization			2019	Transformer
2	T5-3B	97.4	Exploring the Limits of Transfer Learning with a Unified Text-to-Text Transformer			2019	Transformer
3	MUPPET Roberta Large	97.4	Muppet: Massive Multi-task Representations with Pre-Finetuning			2021	
4	ALBERT	97.1	ALBERT: A Lite BERT for Self-supervised Learning of Language Representations			2019	Transformer
5	T5-11B	97.1	Exploring the Limits of Transfer Learning with a Unified Text-to-Text Transformer			2019	Transformer
6	StructBERTRoBERTa ensemble	97.1	StructBERT: Incorporating Language Structures into Pre-training for Deep Language Understanding			2019	Transformer
7	XLNet (single model)	97	XLNet: Generalized Autoregressive Pretraining for Language Understanding			2019	Transformer
8	ELECTRA	96.9	ELECTRA: Pre-training Text Encoders as Discriminators Rather Than Generators			2020	
9	EFL	96.9	Entailment as Few-Shot Learner			2021	Transformer
10	XLNet-Large (ensemble)	96.8	XLNet: Generalized Autoregressive Pretraining for Language Understanding			2019	Transformer
11	RoBERTa	96.7	RoBERTa: A Robustly Optimized BERT Pretraining Approach			2019	Transformer



Interpretability: why? learning dataset, not task

Human: Polite

BERT: Polite

I will understand if you decline, but would very much like you to accept. May I nominate you?

 Human 

 BERT 

 Both



Run yourself

<https://huggingface.co/datasets/sst2>



Summary

- ❑ Various applications using sentiment analysis in political and social sciences, stock market prediction, advertising, etc.
- ❑ Sentiment of text is reflection of the speaker's private state, which is hardly *observable*.
- ❑ Lexicon dictionaries have limitations, because sentiment is *contextual*
- ❑ Sentiment + X
- ❑ Modern deep representations perform better but are hard to *interpret*, and easy to be *biased* to the dataset
- ❑ 97.5 accuracy on SST2, but poor *robustness* in practice



Questions

- ❑ Is there any way to take advantages from both the classical dictionary based method and modern neural model?
- ❑ How can we evaluate and improve robustness of the model? How can we collect even more challenging samples that the current best model can't predict well?
- ❑ How can we make black-box deep learning models to be more interpretable?
- ❑ Is benchmarking/leader-boarding a good practice for evaluation? If not, what is the solution?

